



CREATING THE NEXT

ACTIVE CONTROL OF MEMS RESONATOR PARAMETERS VIA ELECTROMECHANICAL FEEDBACK

Mojtaba Hodjat-Shamami and Farrokh Ayazi Integrated MEMS Laboratory Georgia Institute of Technology





RESONANCE FREQUENCY AND Q CONTROL



- Control of resonance parameters
- High-precision resonant sensors^[4-7]
 - Mode-matched gyroscopes
 - Resonant accelerometers
- Tunability of timing elements
 - Mechanical oscillators and filters
- Fast response time in actuators^[2]
 - Atomic force microscopes







Dynamic feedback tuning^[7]

Dynamic electromechanical feedback enables bidirectional real-time tuning of both the resonance frequency and quality factor regardless of transduction mechanism

DYNAMIC FREQUENCY TUNING





Displacement-proportional force changes the effective stiffness!

DYNAMIC QUALITY FACTOR TUNING





Velocity-proportional force changes the effective damping!

COMSOL SIMULATION SETUP

Georgia Tech

- Multiphysics simulation for the AlN-on-Si MEMS resonator with feedback
- Solid Mechanics Interface: Solve equations of motion in both the AIN and Si domains
- Electrostatics Interface: Solve Gauss's law in the AIN domain
- Global ODEs and DAEs Interface: Store the output current value to implement feedback
- Eigenfrequency and Parametric Frequency Domain studies
- Square structure to support the secondorder in-plane flexural vibration mode







FEEDBACK IMPLEMENTATION IN COMSOL



- Output current signal (*iOut*) is defined by surface integration of the current density on the output electrode.
- Global ODEs and DAEs interface is used to store the output current value which is in turn used to compute the displacement/velocity feedback signal.
- The nojac() operator must be applied to the stored solution to avoid changing the Jacobian matrix.

 $V_{tune, f} = nojac(iOut1) \times 1j \times gain$ $V_{tune, Q} = nojac(iOut1) \times gain$

Global Eq	IS uations			
Label: Glo	obal Equations 1			
▼ Global	Equations			_
f(u,u _t ,µ _{tt} ,t	$u(t_0) = 0, \ u(t_0) = u_0, \ u_t(t_0) = u_0, $	$t_0) = u_{t0}$		
** Name	f(u,ut,utt,t) (A)	Initial value (u_	Initial value (u_	Description
iOut1	iOut1-iOut	0	0	
		0	0	
↑ ↓ 8	. 📂 🔒 🖷 🔹			
↑↓ Name: f(u,ut,utt,t) Initial value				
↑↓ Name: f(u,ut,utt,t) Initial value	(A): (u_0) (A): (u_t0) (A/s):			
Ame: Initial value Initial value Description	(A): (u_0) (A): : (u_t0) (A/s): :			
↑ ↓ Name: f(u,ut,ut,t) Initial value Description ▼ Units	(A): (u_0) (A): (u_10) (A/s): :			
the second	(A): (u_0) (A): (u_t0) (A/s): : ent variable quantity		Unit	
the second	(A): (u_0) (A): (u_t0) (A/s): : ent variable quantity		Unit	
the second	 (A): (u_0) (A): (u_t0) (A/s): : <li:< li=""> <li:< li=""> <li:< li=""> <li:< li=""> :<!--</td--><td></td><td>Unit A Unit</td><td></td></li:<></li:<></li:<></li:<>		Unit A Unit	

Global ODEs and DAEs interface and the nojac() operator are key additions to the Piezoelectric Multiphysics for implementation of the feedback channel.

FREQUENCY TUNING - SIMULATION RESULTS

- Displacement feedback applied by phase shifting the output current signal by 90°
- Resonance frequency is controlled by changing the displacement feedback gain parameter



Georgia Tech





Q TUNING – SIMULATION RESULTS

- Velocity feedback applied as a signal proportional to the output current signal
- Quality factor is controlled by changing the velocity feedback gain parameter





Georgia Tech

ALN-ON-SI MEMS RESONATOR

- Square resonator with a network of side-supporting tethers for electric signal routing
- Aluminum Nitride sandwiched between top and bottom Molybdenum electrodes and stacked on top of the Silicon device layer
- Second order in-plane flexural vibration mode at ~5.9 MHz with a Q of ~2900 and IL of ~40 dB

DIGITAL INTERFACE ARCHITECTURE

FREQUENCY TUNING - EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- Dynamic frequency tuning technique is verified experimentally by adjusting the displacement feedback gain.
- Resonance frequency of the piezoelectric resonator is tuned in both directions by ~400 ppm.

Q TUNING – EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

- Dynamic quality factor tuning technique is also verified experimentally by adjusting the velocity feedback gain.
- Quality factor is tuned from 1800 to 6300 for the piezoelectric resonator with the reference Q value of 2900.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Shahmohammadi et al., "Passive tuning in lateral-mode thin-film piezoelectric oscillators," *IEEE IFCS*, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2011, pp. 1-5.
- 2. T. Sulchek et al., "High-speed tapping mode imaging with active Q control for atomic force microscopy," *Applied Physics Letters* 76.11 (2000): 1473-1475.
- 3. B. Hamelin, V. Tavassoli and F. Ayazi, "Localized Eutectic Trimming of Polysilicon Microhemispherical Resonating Gyroscopes," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 14, no. 10, pp. 3498-3505, Oct. 2014.
- 4. A. Daruwalla et al., "A piezo-capacitive BAW accelerometer with extended dynamic range using a gap-changing moving electrode," *IEEE PLANS*, Monterey, CA, 2018, pp. 283-287.
- 5. A. Norouzpour-Shirazi et al., "Dynamic tuning of MEMS resonators via electromechanical feedback," *IEEE TUFFC*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 129-137, January 2015.
- 6. M. Hodjat-Shamami et al., "A dynamically mode-matched piezoelectrically transduced high-frequency flexural disk gyroscope," *IEEE MEMS*, Estoril, 2015, pp. 789-792.
- 7. M. Hodjat-Shamami, et al., "Eigenmode operation as a quadrature error cancellation technique for piezoelectric resonant gyroscopes," *IEEE MEMS*, Las Vegas, 2017, pp. 1107-1110.

CREATING THE NEXT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

DARPA MTO Advanced Inertial Micro Sensors (AIMS) Program Georgia Tech Institute for Electronics and Nanotechnology

Contact: shamami@gatech.edu ayazi@gatech.edu

